

Drying by cavitation and poroelastic relaxations in extreme ink-bottle porous media

Olivier Vincent¹, David A. Sessoms¹, Erik J. Huber^{1,2}, Jules Guioth¹, and Abraham D. Stroock^{1,3,*}

^{*}Corresponding author: ads10@cornell.edu

¹School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering,
Cornell University, 120 Olin Hall, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

²Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering,
Cornell University, 105 Upson Hall, Ithaca, New York 14853 and

³Kavli Institute at Cornell for Nanoscale Science

We investigate the drying dynamics of porous media with two pore diameters separated by several orders of magnitude. Nanometer-sized pores at the edge of our samples prevent air entry, while drying proceeds from the inside by cavitation, i.e. the nucleation of vapor bubbles, in micrometer-sized voids. We show that the dynamics of cavitation and drying are set by the interplay of the deterministic poroelastic mass transport in the porous medium and the stochastic nucleation process. Spatio-temporal patterns emerge in this unusual reaction-diffusion system, with temporal oscillations in the drying rate and tunable roughness of the drying front.

The desorption, or drying, of liquids from porous media is important in a variety of contexts, both in nature (e.g., the movement of water in plants¹) and in technology (e.g., the synthesis² and characterization³ of advanced materials). Studies of desorption have focused on the dynamics and pattern formation associated with drying^{4–6} and the thermodynamics that define the shapes of desorption isotherms.^{7,8} Despite this attention, uncertainties remain regarding the physical processes that govern desorption: in 1948, Schofield proposed that drying from porous media could occur by cavitation, i.e. the nucleation of vapor bubbles in the metastable pore liquid, rather than by invasion of vapor from the edges of the material (Fig. 1a).⁹ This process has been observed in simulations^{8,10} and has been proposed on several occasions to explain the shape of desorption isotherms in nano-scale porous media^{8,11,12} or the apparent emergence of drying events far from the evaporation front.^{13,14} Yet, we are unaware of direct observation of drying by cavitation or of an investigation of its effect on dynamics.

In this Letter, we present a tailored porous medium formed of microfabricated voids coupled to each other and the outside via a nanoporous substrate (Figs. 1b–1c). This extreme ink-bottle structure – large pore bodies connected via narrow throats¹⁵ – has allowed us to observe the nucleation and growth of cavitation bubbles during drying (1d) and show that this process gives rise to interesting dynamics.

Drying occurs when a saturated porous medium is placed in a sub-saturated atmosphere (relative humidity $p_v/p_{\text{sat}} < 1$, where p_v and p_{sat} are the vapor pressure and its saturation value). At high relative humidity, evaporation results in the formation of menisci in the mouths of the pores at the surface of the material, until local mechanical and chemical equilibrium are established. This equilibrium occurs when the liquid pressure P satisfies¹⁶

$$P - P_0 = \frac{RT}{v_m} \ln \left(\frac{p_v}{p_{\text{sat}}} \right) \quad (1)$$




FIG. 1. Extreme ink-bottle. (a) A porous medium with ink-bottle morphology may dry from inside by cavitation. (b) Schematic side-view of our extreme ink-bottle geometry with microfabricated voids interconnected by porous silicon (poSi). (c) Photograph showing top-view of a sample with an array of 25×25 voids (bright dots) bonded to a layer of nanoporous silicon (orange background). (d) Optical micrographs of a cavitation event (top view) and schematic drawing of the water fluxes (side view) (e) Survival curve: fraction of voids in sample as in (c) that remain filled with liquid after exposure for 24 hours to sub-saturated vapor at relative humidity p_v/p_{sat}

and

$$P - P_0 < \frac{2\sigma \cos \theta_r}{r_p} \quad (2)$$

where $v_m = 1.805 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\text{mol}$ and RT are the molar volume of the liquid and the thermal energy, σ is the liquid surface tension, and θ_r is the receding contact angle of the meniscus in pores of radius r_p . At lower relative hu-

midities, for which the pressure difference defined by (1) violates the inequality in (2), the menisci will recede into the pores. This scenario is the classical process of drying by capillary invasion from the edges of the material.¹⁷

Drying may also occur by a distinct mechanism if the stresses in the liquid exceed its tensile strength, as first suggested by Schofield.⁹ Indeed, Eq. (1) states that the pressure in the liquid phase is reduced relative to the standard state pressure P_0 , such that it is metastable with respect to the growth of gas bubbles of air and vapor via homogeneous or heterogeneous nucleation¹⁸ (Fig. 1a). This mode of drying by cavitation may be favored in heterogeneous porous media that present ink-bottle geometries in which small pores prevent invasion of the menisci and permit the development of large stresses within the pore liquid (pore-blocking via Eq. 2), while larger pores inside allow the nucleation of bubbles (Fig. 1a). Previous attempts to fabricate model ink-bottle systems were restricted to small variations in pore diameters due to the limited range of the synthetic techniques employed.^{7,11,19}

Here, we report on an extreme version of the ink-bottle geometry in which nanometer- and micrometer-sized voids coexist. Figs. 1b and 1c present our system (see Supplemental Materials for details²⁰): we formed discrete, micrometer-scale voids ($10 - 100 \mu\text{m}$ thick) in the surface of glass via lithography; we formed a $5 \mu\text{m}$ -thick layer of interconnected, nanometer-scale pores ($1 - 2 \text{ nm}$ in radius as determined by N_2 porosimetry) in the surface of silicon by anodization; and we bonded the glass and silicon anodically to couple the micro-voids to each other and the outside environment via the nanopores. In a typical experiment, we filled the samples with water, allowed them to dry in closed chambers with a controlled relative humidity p_v/p_{sat} , and followed the resulting dynamics by time-lapse photography. As seen in the micrographs of Fig. 1d, we could follow discrete cavitation events within the micro-voids during drying. We obtained the same behavior when dehydrating the samples in aqueous solutions, thus excluding invasion of air as the mechanism for drying.

We first characterized the stability of the bulk liquid water within the micro-voids by lowering the vapor pressure in step increments and counting the number of full voids after $\tau = 1$ day of equilibration (Fig. 1e). Across samples, the shape of the survival curves were similar, with half of the voids emptied by cavitation at vapor activities in the range $0.83 - 0.86$, corresponding to liquid pressures in the range $P_{\text{cav}} = 20 - 24 \text{ MPa}$ according to equation (1). We note that this value is well above (less negative) than expected for the desorption of water from the porous silicon based on porosimetry ($< -60 \text{ MPa}$), and it agrees with many measurements of cavitation pressure in water.²¹ The width of the transition ΔP_{cav} in a single sample was on the order of $1 - 2 \text{ MPa}$.

We now turn to the drying dynamics. Fig. 2a presents a typical drying sequence for $p_v/p_{\text{sat}} = 0.84$ such that the liquid pressure at the boundary is $p_b \simeq -24 \text{ MPa}$




FIG. 2. Drying dynamics. Optical micrographs showing state of voids in a sample as in Fig. 1c (only array of voids was imaged) after 20 hours (left) and 60 hours of drying at $p_v/p_{\text{sat}} = 0.84$. Empty voids appear bright. Each void was dome-shaped with diameter of $200 \mu\text{m}$ and depth of $27 \mu\text{m}$. Evaporation occurred from all four edges of the samples. (b) Number of voids in the process of emptying as a function of time. (d) Autocorrelation function.

(via Eq. 1). Drying proceeded in a mixed fashion, with both coherent, front-like progression from the edges to the center and discrete events far away from the front. This observation suggests that the progression of cavitation was controlled by an interplay of deterministic mass transport and stochastic cavitation events that allow drying deep within the sample. All the voids were empty after approximately 60 hours of drying (Fig. 2a, right), indicating that $P_b < P_{\text{cav}} - \Delta P_{\text{cav}}$ in this experiment.

More surprisingly, despite the steady nature of the applied driving force, we found that cavitation and emptying of the voids proceeded in a punctuated manner, with bursts of 1 to ~ 7 events separated by periods with no events (Fig. 2b). This progression of bursts persists until all voids were empty and showed correlations in time over periods of many events (Fig. 2c). This emergent behavior suggests links to the dynamics in other out-of-equilibrium systems²² such as the periodic relaxations in a continuously driven sand pile.²³ This link motivates the search for mechanisms of positive and negative feedback between events.

We first considered positive feedback between cavitation events mediated by acoustic emissions. Each event did indeed emit an acoustic signal but we could rule out their potential role in triggering subsequent events because the ring-down time of these signals was short ($\sim 1 \text{ ms}$) compared to the typical time between cavitation events inside a burst ($\sim 1 - 10 \text{ s}$). Interestingly, the period between successive bursts was $\simeq 10^3 \text{ s}$ (Fig. 2b-c), which was of the same order of magnitude as the average time necessary for the voids to completely empty of liquid




FIG. 3. Time evolution of the simulated pressure field in a 25×25 voids sample as in Fig. 1c and Fig. 2a. (a) First cavitation event within a burst, labeled 0. (b) Growing exclusion zones (tracked by white contour lines at -17 MPa) inside of which the probability of cavitation is close to zero. (c) Individual exclusion zones merge. (d) After all voids have emptied, they stop acting as local sources of liquid and the exclusion zone shrinks. (e) Spatio-temporal representation of the drying dynamics for the full simulation, showing spatial (Δr) versus temporal (Δt) separations for all possible pairs of cavitation events. Events 1-2-3 (with respect to event 0) are represented as red dots. The red line corresponds to $\Delta r = \sqrt{3C\Delta t}$. (f) Autocorrelation function of all emptying events in frame (e).

after cavitation $\tau_{\text{empty}} \simeq 200$ s (Fig. 1b). This suggests that the bursting behavior arose from a hydraulic coupling between voids, due to the release of water into the surrounding medium during emptying.

In order to check this hypothesis and further elucidate the peculiar drying dynamics of this system, we developed a model coupling deterministic mass transport and stochastic cavitation kinetics. We treat fluid transport within the porous silicon as poroelastic diffusion:²⁴

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = C \nabla^2 P \quad (3)$$

where $C = \kappa K_{\text{liq}}/\phi \simeq 5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ is the poroelastic diffusivity (or consolidation constant), $\kappa \simeq 1.44 \times 10^{-17} \text{ m}^2/(\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s})$ is the Darcy permeability of porous silicon, $\phi \simeq 0.6$ is its porosity, and $K_{\text{liq}} = 2.2 \text{ GPa}$ is the bulk modulus of liquid water. We solve Eq. (3) with a finite difference scheme in which we neglected pressure gradients within voids relative to those in porous silicon.

Upon cavitation, we set the pressure within a void to p_{sat} during emptying and then allow its associated region of porous silicon to evolve according to Eq. (3) once it is empty.

We describe cavitation kinetics in the voids by classical nucleation theory,^{18,21} leading to the following expression of the time evolution of the nucleation probability $\mathcal{P}$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial t} = \Gamma_0 V \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\text{nucl}}}{k_B T}\right) \quad (4)$$

where Γ_0 is a kinetic prefactor, V is the volume of an individual void and k_B is Boltzmann's constant. In the energy barrier for nucleation, $E_{\text{nucl}} = 16\pi\sigma^3/[3(P - p_{\text{sat}})^2]$, we use an effective surface tension $\sigma \simeq 0.02 \text{ N/m}$; this value is typical of those used to match the observed kinetics of cavitation of water in a variety of experiments.^{16,21,25} In order to match the width of the survival curves obtained experimentally, we also allowed this effective surface tension to fluctuate spatially with a narrow distribution ($\Delta\sigma/\sigma \sim 0.02 - 0.07$). These two parameters were adjusted to fit the survival curves of the experimental samples (blue line in Fig. 1e). At each time-step, we evaluate Eq. (4) with a Monte Carlo scheme. To simulate drying, we impose a boundary condition on the four edges of the sample of fixed liquid pressure p_b set by the outside humidity, following Eq. (1).

The sequence in Fig. 3a-d presents snapshots of the calculated pressure field during a burst of four cavitation events under conditions matching the experiment shown on Fig. 2 (see also Supplemental Movie²⁰). When a void cavitates, its pressure jumps from the negative value in the surrounding matrix to p_{sat} (red pixels in Fig. 3a). This transition generates outward flow that results in the progressive emptying of the void and the growth of a re-saturated zone in the surrounding matrix (white lines in Fig. 3b). Outside of this zone, strong metastability persists and further cavitation events can occur (events 1 and 2 in Fig. 3b); inside this zone, metastability is reduced and the nucleation rate is negligible. The re-saturated zones of multiple events grow and merge (Fig. 3c) until further cavitation is suppressed throughout the sample (Fig. 3d). Once the cavitated voids have emptied, the pressure in the sample begins to decrease again and a new cycle of cavitation can start.

Fig. 3e presents the spatio-temporal statistics of all cavitation events during the simulation. A pair of cavitation events separated by a time Δt can only exist outside of an exclusion zone (red line), the spatial extent of which grows as $\Delta r_{\text{excl}} \sim \sqrt{C\Delta t}$ during a timescale of the order of the average emptying time τ_{empt} . The growth in $t^{1/2}$ arises from the diffusion of pressure governed by poroelastic transport, see Eq. (3). The events from Fig. 3a-d are shown on Fig. 3e (red circles, with event 0 at the origin).

The similarity of the autocorrelation of simulated events (Fig. 3f) to that observed experimentally




FIG. 4. State diagram of drying dynamics as a function of driving force and interactions between voids. The upper diagram in each quadrant is a spatial representation of the drying front when half of the voids had cavitated. The color indicates the time of cavitation. The lower diagram is the spatio-temporal representation introduced in Fig. 3e. The top left experiment is the one reported on fig. 2.

(Fig. 2c) strongly suggests that the experimental time-dependent response emerges due to this *suppressive* effect based on hydraulic coupling. The emergence of this complex response from the coupling of diffusion (Eq. 3) and non-linear reaction (Eq. 4) points to a particular analogy with the relaxation of metastable states in reaction-diffusion dynamics that lead, for example, to pattern formation during the precipitation of supersaturated solutions.²⁶

With these basic ingredients (pressure diffusion and cavitation kinetics) in hand, we turn to the identification of grouped parameters that control drying dynamics in these ink-bottle materials. First, we define a non-dimensional driving force for cavitation :

$$\delta = (P_{\text{cav}} - P_b) / \Delta P_{\text{cav}} \quad (5)$$

where P_b is the liquid pressure at the boundary defined by the external humidity via Eq. 1, and P_{cav} and ΔP_{cav} parametrize the survival curve (Fig. 1e). For strong driving forces (large, positive values of δ) we expect deterministic dynamics controlled by the diffusion of the boundary pressure into the sample; for weak driving forces (small positive and negative values of δ), we expect stochastic events controlled by the kinetics of cavitation. For $\delta > 0$,

the width of the drying front is $\Delta x \sim d/\delta$, where d is the distance of the front to the edge (see Supplemental²⁰).

Second, we define a non-dimensional interaction between voids to capture the negative feedback illustrated in Fig. 3. The size of the exclusion zone scales as $\Delta r_{\text{excl}} \sim \sqrt{C \times \tau_{\text{empt}}}$ so that if the voids are sufficiently far apart ($a > \Delta r_{\text{excl}}$), the effect of the negative interactions should vanish. We thus define the non-dimensional interaction parameter

$$\zeta = \tau_{\text{empt}} \times C/a^2 \quad (6)$$

which is equal to the ratio of emptying time to the diffusion time $\tau_{\text{diff}} = a^2/C$ for pressure information to travel from one void to the other; ζ and is also proportionnal to $(\Delta r_{\text{excl}}/a)^2$.

To investigate the impact of driving force, we exposed the sample from Fig. 2 to a stronger driving force by lowering p_v/p_{sat} (Fig. 4, δ increases from left to right). We observed experimentally that the drying front did indeed become much sharper for higher values of δ . This evolution is similar to that reported for evaporative drying of porous media with air invasion.^{4,27} The important distinction here is that drying occurs from the inside via cavitation at localized, spatially random points beyond the drying front, without percolation of a vapor path to the surface. Our experiments thus provide the first direct, experimental support of the proposal that this evolution of the pattern of drying with the strength of the driving force can result from cavitation.^{13,14}

We also investigated the effect of the interaction parameter, ζ (Eq. 6) using samples with the same inter-void spacing, $a = 400 \mu\text{m}$, but with $\sim 10^3$ -fold smaller void volume than that of the samples discussed up to this point. This change in geometry lowered the interaction parameter from $\zeta = 70$ (top row in Fig. 4) to $\zeta = 0.1$ (bottom row in Fig. 4) by decreasing the emptying time. As predicted, this change dramatically reduced the negative feedback between cavitation events, as can be seen in the nearly complete disappearance of the exclusion zones for both the strong and low driving force cases (Fig. 4, bottom). Further, the time sequence of cavitation in these small-void samples showed no measurable temporal correlations.

We note that our simulations based on Eqs (3) and (4) reproduce the state diagram in Fig. 4 well (see Supplemental Material²⁰), providing further support for the relevance of our model.

In conclusion, we have used extreme ink-bottle geometries to provide definitive, experimental evidence of drying of a porous medium by cavitation. We have further elucidated aspects of the emergent dynamics of this process and shown that we can explain them quantitatively with a model that couples poroelastic transport and cavitation kinetics. This mechanism represents a new route to a non-linear reaction-diffusion process, with mechanical rather than molecular diffusion, and with non-linearity coming from nucleation kinetics rather than from reaction kinetics. We expect that similar coupled

dynamics should occur in highly heterogeneous porous media, such as the ones found in rocks or plant tissues. In the geological context, the propagation of drying stresses could lead to patterns of nucleation of gases from supersaturated solutions that are formed, for example, during the sequestration of carbon dioxide.²⁸ In plant tissues, the hydraulic interactions upon drying and cavitation might play a role in the physiological response to drought, as suggested by early results from Dixon et al.²⁹ We expect that microfabricated structures of the type presented here will provide useful avenues for the exploration of these and other phenomena.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Eugene Choi for the measurement of nitrogen isotherms on porous sil-

icon. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CBET-0747993 and CHE-0924463), the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (FA9550-09-1-0188), and the Camille Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar Awards program, and was performed in part at the Cornell NanoScale Facility, a member of the National Nanotechnology Infrastructure Network, which is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant ECCS-0335765).

-
- ¹ A. D. Stroock, V. V. Pagay, M. A. Zwieniecki, and N. M. Holbrook, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **46** (2013).
 - ² C. J. Brinker and G. W. Scherer, *Sol-Gel Science* (Academic Press, 1990).
 - ³ E. P. Barrett, L. G. Joyner, and P. P. Halenda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **73**, 373 (1951).
 - ⁴ T. M. Shaw, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 1671 (1987).
 - ⁵ M. Prat, *Chemical Engineering Journal* **86**, 153 (2002).
 - ⁶ P. Lehmann, S. Assouline, and D. Or, *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 056309 (2008).
 - ⁷ D. Wallacher, N. Künzner, D. Kovalev, N. Knorr, and K. Knorr, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 195704 (2004).
 - ⁸ P. I. Ravikovitch and A. V. Neimark, *Langmuir*, **18**, 9830 (2002).
 - ⁹ R. K. Schofield, *Discuss. Faraday Soc.* **3**, 105 (1948).
 - ¹⁰ L. Sarkisov and P. A. Monson, *Langmuir* **17**, 7600 (2001).
 - ¹¹ A. Grosman and C. Ortega, *Langmuir* **27**, 2364 (2011).
 - ¹² F. Bonnet, M. Melich, L. Puech, and P. E. Wolf, *Europhysics Letters* **101**, 16010 (2013).
 - ¹³ A. Sarkar, S. Chaudhuri, S. Wang, F. Kirkbir, and H. Murata, *Journal of Sol-Gel Science and Technology* **2**, 865 (1994).
 - ¹⁴ G. W. Scherer and D. M. Smith, *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids* **189**, 197 (1995).
 - ¹⁵ L. H. Cohan, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **66**, 98 (1944).
 - ¹⁶ T. D. Wheeler and A. D. Stroock, *Nature* **455**, 208 (2008).
 - ¹⁷ G. W. Scherer, *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* **73**, 3 (1990).
 - ¹⁸ P. G. Debenedetti, *Metastable Liquids: Concepts and Principles*, edited by J. M. Prausnitz and L. Brewer (Princeton University Press, 1996).
 - ¹⁹ L. Bruschi, G. Mistura, L. Liu, W. Lee, U. Gösele, and B. Coasne, *Langmuir* **26**, 11894 (2010).
 - ²⁰ Supplementary files available at <http://www.stroockgroup.org/home/publications>.
 - ²¹ F. Caupin and E. Herbert, *Comptes Rendus Physique* **7**, 1000 (2006).
 - ²² M. C. Cross and P. C. Hohenberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65**, 851 (1993).
 - ²³ H. M. Jaeger, C.-h. Liu, and S. R. Nagel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 40 (1989).
 - ²⁴ M. A. Biot, *Journal of Applied Physics* **12**, 155 (1941).
 - ²⁵ K. Davitt, A. Arvengas, and F. Caupin, *Europhysics Letters* **90**, 16002 (2010).
 - ²⁶ K. H. Stern, *Chemical Reviews* **54**, 79 (1954).
 - ²⁷ M. Prat and F. Bouleux, *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 5647 (1999).
 - ²⁸ H. E. Huppert and J. A. Neufeld, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **46**, 255 (2014).
 - ²⁹ M. A. Dixon, J. Grace, and M. T. Tyree, *Plant, Cell & Environment* **7**, 615 (1984).